[Reprinted from *AT&T Bell Laboratories Technical Journal* **63**, No. 8 Part 2 (October, 1984), pp. 1897-1910. The current implementation of the stream mechanisms differs slightly from that described here, but the structure remains the same. Copyright © 1984 AT&T.]

# A Stream Input-Output System

Dennis M. Ritchie

# ABSTRACT

In a new version of the Unix operating system, a flexible coroutine-based design replaces the traditional rigid connection between processes and terminals or networks. Processing modules may be inserted dynamically into the stream that connects a user's program to a device. Programs may also connect directly to programs, providing interprocess communication.

### Introduction

The part of the Unix operating system that deals with terminals and other character devices has always been complicated. In recent versions of the system it has become even more so, for two reasons.

- 1) Network connections require protocols more ornate than are easily accommodated in the existing structure. A notion of "line disciplines" was only partially successful, mostly because in the traditional system only one line discipline can be active at a time.
- 2) The fundamental data structure of the traditional character I/O system, a queue of individual characters (the "clist"), is costly because it accepts and dispenses characters one at a time. Attempts to avoid overhead by bypassing the mechanism entirely or by introducing *ad hoc* routines succeeded in speeding up the code at the expense of regularity.

Patchwork solutions to specific problems were destroying the modularity of this part of the system. The time was ripe to redo the whole thing. This paper describes the new organization.

The system described here runs on about 20 machines in the Information Sciences Research Division of Bell Laboratories. Although it is being investigated by other parts of Bell Labs, it is not generally available.

### Overview

This section summarizes the nomenclature, components, and mechanisms of the new I/O system.

# Streams

A *stream* is a full-duplex connection between a user's process and a device or pseudo-device. It consists of several linearly connected processing modules, and is analogous to a Shell pipeline, except that data flows in both directions. The modules in a stream communicate almost exclusively by passing messages to their neighbors. Except for some conventional variables used for flow control, modules do not require access to the storage of their neighbors. Moreover, a module provides only one entry point to each neighbor, namely a routine that accepts messages.

At the end of the stream closest to the process is a set of routines that provide the interface to the rest of the system. A user's *write* and I/O control requests are turned into messages sent to the stream, and *read* requests take data from the stream and pass it to the user. At the other end of the stream is a device driver module. Here, data arriving from the stream is sent to the device; characters and state transitions detected by the device are composed into messages and sent into the stream towards the user program. Intermediate

modules process the messages in various ways.

The two end modules in a stream become connected automatically when the device is opened; intermediate modules are attached dynamically by request of the user's program. Stream processing modules are symmetrical; their read and write interfaces are identical.

#### Queues

Each stream processing module consists of a pair of *queues*, one for each direction. A queue comprises not only a data queue proper, but also two routines and some status information. One routine is the *put procedure*, which is called by its neighbor to place messages on the data queue. The other, the *service procedure*, is scheduled to execute whenever there is work for it to do. The status information includes a pointer to the next queue downstream, various flags, and a pointer to additional state information required by the instantiation of the queue. Queues are allocated in such a way that the routines associated with one half of a stream module may find the queue associated with the other half. (This is used, for example, in generating echos for terminal input.)

### Message blocks

The objects passed between queues are blocks obtained from an allocator. Each contains a *read pointer*, a *write pointer*, and a *limit pointer*, which specify respectively the beginning of information being passed, its end, and a bound on the extent to which the write pointer may be increased.

The header of a block specifies its type; the most common blocks contain data. There are also control blocks of various kinds, all with the same form as data blocks and obtained from the same allocator. For example, there are control blocks to introduce delimiters into the data stream, to pass user I/O control requests, and to announce special conditions such as line break and carrier loss on terminal devices.

Although data blocks arrive in discrete units at the processing modules, boundaries between them are semantically insignificant; standard subroutines may try to coalesce adjacent data blocks in the same queue. Control blocks, however, are never coalesced.

#### Scheduling

Although each queue module behaves in some ways like a separate process, it is not a real process; the system saves no state information for a queue module that is not running. In particular queue processing routines do not block when they cannot proceed, but must explicitly return control. A queue may be *enabled* by mechanisms described below. When a queue becomes enabled, the system will, as soon as convenient, call its service procedure entry, which removes successive blocks from the associated data queue, processes them, and places them on the next queue by calling its put procedure. When there are no more blocks to process, or when the next queue becomes full, the service procedure returns to the system. Any special state information must be saved explicitly.

Standard routines make enabling of queue modules largely automatic. For example, the routine that puts a block on a queue enables the queue service routine if the queue was empty.

#### Flow Control

Associated with each queue is a pair of numbers used for flow control. A high-water mark limits the amount of data that may be outstanding in the queue; by convention, modules do not place data on a queue above its limit. A low-water mark is used for scheduling in this way: when a queue has exceeded its high-water mark, a flag is set. Then, when the routine that takes blocks from a data queue notices that this flag is set and that the queue has dropped below the low-water mark, the queue upstream of this one is enabled.

### **Simple Examples**

Figure 1 depicts a stream device that has just been opened. The top-level routines, drawn as a pair of half-open rectangles on the left, are invoked by users' *read* and *write* calls. The writer routine sends messages to the device driver shown on the right. Data arriving from the device is composed into messages sent to the top-level reader routine, which returns the data to the user process when it executes *read*.



Figure 1. Configuration after device open.

Figure 2 shows an ordinary terminal connected by an RS-232 line. Here a processing module (the pair of rectangles in the middle) is interposed; it performs the services necessary to make terminals usable, for example echoing, character-erase and line-kill, tab expansion as required, and translation between carriage-return and new-line. It is possible to use one of several terminal handling modules. The standard one provides services like those of the Seventh Edition system [1]; another resembles the Berkeley "new tty" driver [2].

user write	>	tty out	<u> </u>	device out >
<ul> <li>user</li> <li>read</li> </ul>	<	tty in	<	device in

Figure 2. Configuration for normal terminal attachment.

The processing modules in a stream are thought of as a stack whose top (shown here on the left) is next to the user program. Thus, to install the terminal processing module after opening a terminal device, the program that makes such connections executes a "push" I/O control call naming the relevant stream and the desired processing module. Other primitives pop a module from the stack and determine the name of the topmost module.

Most of the machines using the version of the operating system described here are connected to a network based on the Datakit packet switch [3]. Although there is a variety of host interfaces to the network, most of ours are primitive, and require network protocols to be conducted by the host machine, rather than by a front-end processor. Therefore, when terminals are connected to a host through the network, a setup like that shown in Fig. 3 is used; the terminal processing module is stacked on the network protocol module. Again, there is a choice of protocol modules, both a current standard and an older protocol that is being phased out.



Figure 3. Configuration for network terminals.

A common fourth configuration (not illustrated) is used when the network is used for file transfers or other purposes when terminal processing is not needed. It simply omits the "tty" module and uses only the protocol module. Some of our machines, on the other hand, have front-end processors programmed to conduct standard network protocol. Here a connection for remote file transfer will resemble that of Fig. 1, because the protocol is handled outside the operating system; likewise network terminal connections via the front end will be handled as shown in Fig. 2.

### Messages

Most of the messages between modules contain data. The allocator that dispenses message blocks takes an argument specifying the smallest block its caller is willing to accept. The current allocator maintains an inventory of blocks 4, 16, 64, and 1024 characters long. Modules that allocate blocks choose a size by balancing space loss in block linkage overhead against unused space in the block. For example, the top-level *write* routine requests either 64- or 1024-character blocks, because such calls usually transmit many characters; the network input routine allocates 16-byte blocks because data arrives in packets of that size. The smallest blocks are used only to carry arguments to the control messages discussed below.

Besides data blocks, there are also several kinds of control messages. The following messages are queued along with data messages, in order to ensure that their effect occurs at the appropriate time.

- BREAK is generated by a terminal device on detection of a line break signal. The standard terminal input processor turns this message into an interrupt request. It may also be sent to a terminal device driver to cause it to generate a break on the output line.
- HANGUP is generated by a device when its remote connection drops. When the message arrives at the top level it is turned into an interrupt to the process, and it also marks the stream so that further attempts to use it return errors.
- DELIM is a delimiter in the data. Most of the stream I/O system is prepared to provide true streams, in which record boundaries are insignificant, but there are various situations in which it is desirable to delimit the data. For example, terminal input is read a line at a time; DELIM is generated by the terminal input processor to demarcate lines.
- DELAY tells terminal drivers to generate a real-time delay on output; it allows time for slow terminals react to characters previously sent.
- IOCTL messages are generated by users' *ioctl* system calls. The relevant parameters are gathered at the top level, and if the request is not understood there, it and its parameters are composed into a message and sent down the stream. The first module that understands the particular request acts on it and returns a positive acknowledgement. Intermediate modules that do not recognize a particular IOCTL request pass it on; stream-end modules return a negative acknowledgement. The top-level routine waits for the acknowledgement, and returns any information it carries to the user.

Other control messages are asynchronous and jump over queued data and non-priority control messages.

- IOCACK
- IOCNAK acknowledge IOCTL messages. The device end of a stream must respond with one of these messages; the top level will eventually time out if no response is received.
- SIGNAL messages are generated by the terminal processing module and cause the top level to generate process signals such as *quit* and *interrupt*.
- FLUSH messages are used to throw away data from input and output queues after a signal or on request of the user.
- STOP
- START messages are used by the terminal processor to halt and restart output by a device, for example to implement the traditional control-S/control-Q (X-on/X-off) flow control mechanism.

# **Queue Mechanisms and Interfaces**

Associated with each direction of a full-duplex stream module is a queue data structure with the following form (somewhat simplified for exposition).

```
struct queue {
                          /* flag bits */
      int
             flag;
      void
                          /* put procedure */
             (*putp)();
                          /* service procedure */
      void
             (*servp)();
      struct queue *next; /* next queue downstream */
      struct block *first; /* first data block on queue */
      struct block *last; /* last data block on queue */
             hiwater; /* max characters on queue */
      int
      int
             lowater;
                         /* wakeup point as queue drains */
                          /* characters now on queue */
      int
             count;
      void
             *ptr;
                          /* pointer to private storage */
};
```

The flag word contains several bits used by low-level routines to control scheduling: they show whether the downstream module wishes read data, or the upstream module wishes to write, or the queue is already enabled. One bit is examined by the upstream module; it tells whether this queue is full.

The first and last members point to the head and tail of a singly-linked list of data and control blocks that form the queue proper; hiwater and lowater are initialized when the queue is created, and when compared against count, the current size of the queue, determine whether the queue is full and whether it has emptied sufficiently to enable a blocked writer.

The ptr member stores an untyped pointer that may be used by the queue module to keep track of the location of storage private to itself. For example, each instantiation of the terminal processing module maintains a structure containing various mode bits and special characters; it stores a pointer to this structure here. The type of ptr is artificial. It should be a union of pointers to each possible module state structure.

Stream processing modules are written in one of two general styles. In the simpler kind, the queue module acts nearly as a classical coroutine. When it is instantiated, it sets its put procedure putp to a system-supplied default routine, and supplies a service procedure servp. Its upstream module disposes of blocks by calling this module's putp routine, which places the block on this module's queue (by manipulating the first and last pointers.) The standard put procedure also enables the current module; a short time later the current module's service procedure servp is called by the scheduler. In pseudo-code, the outline of a typical service routine is:

```
service(q)
struct queue *q
while (q is not empty and q->next is not full) {
    get a block from q
    process message block
    call q->next->putp to dispose of
        new or transformed block
}
```

This mechanism is appropriate in cases in which messages can be processed independently of each other. For example, it is used by the terminal output module. All the scheduling details are taken care of by standard routines.

More complicated modules need finer control over scheduling. A good example is terminal input. Here the device module upstream produces characters, usually one at a time, that must be gathered into a line to allow for character erase and kill processing. Therefore the stream input module provides a put procedure to be called by the device driver or other module downstream from it; here is an outline of this routine and its accompanying service procedure:

```
putproc(q, bp)
struct queue *q; struct block *bp

    put bp on q
    echo characters in bp's data
    if (bp's data contains new-line or carriage return)
        enable q

service(q)
struct queue *q

    take data from q until new-line or carriage return,
        processing erase and kill characters
        call q->next->putp to hand line to upstream queue
        call q->next->putp with DELIM message
```

The put procedure generates the echo characters as promptly as possible; when the terminal module is attached to a device handler, they are created during the input interrupt from the device, because the put procedure is called as a subroutine of the handler. On the other hand, line-gathering and erase and kill processing, which can be lengthy, are done during the service procedure at lower priority.

### Connection with the Rest of the System

Although all the drivers for terminal and network devices, and all protocol handlers, were rewritten, only minor changes were required elsewhere in the system. Character devices and a character device switch, as described by Thompson [4], are still present. A pointer in the character device switch structure, if null, causes the system to treat the device as always; this is used for raw disk and tape, for example. If not null, it points to initialization information for the stream device; when a stream device is opened, the queue structure shown in Fig. 1 is created, using this information, and a pointer to the structure naming the stream is saved (in the "inode table").

Subsequently, when the user process makes *read*, *write*, *ioctl*, or *close* calls, presence of a non-null stream pointer directs the system to use a set of stream routines to generate and receive queue messages; these are the "top-level routines" referred to previously.

Only a few changes in user-level code are necessary, most because opening a terminal puts it in the "very raw" mode shown in Fig. 1. In order to install the terminal-processing handler, it is necessary for programs such as *init* to execute the appropriate *ioctl* call.

#### **Interprocess Communication**

As previously described, the stream I/O system constitutes a flexible communication path between user processes and devices. With a small addition, it also provides a mechanism for interprocess communication. A special device, the "pseudo-terminal" or PT, connects processes. PT files come in even-odd pairs; data written on the odd member of the pair appears as input for the even member, and vice versa. The idea is not new; it appears in Tenex [5] and its successors, for example. It is analogous to pipes, and especially to named pipes [6]. PT files differ from traditional pipes in two ways: they are full-duplex, and control information passes through them as well as data. They differ from the usual pseudo-terminal files [2] by not having any of the usual terminal processing mechanisms inherently attached to them; they are pure transmitters of control and data messages. PT files are adequate for setting up a reasonably general mechanism for explicit process communication, but by themselves are not especially interesting.

A special *message* module provides more intriguing possibilities. In one direction, the message processor takes control and data messages, such as those discussed above, and transforms them into data blocks starting with a header giving the message type, and followed by the message content. In the other direction, it parses similarly-structured data messages and creates the corresponding control blocks. Figure 4 shows a configuration in which a user process communicates through the terminal module, a PT file pair, and the message module with another user-level process that simulates a device driver. Because PT files are transparent, and the message module maps bijectively between device-process data and stream control



Figure 4. Configuration for device simulator.

messages, the device simulator may be completely faithful up to details of timing. In particular, user's *ioctl* requests are sent to the device process and are handled by it, even if they are not understood by the operating system.

The usefulness of this setup is not so much to simulate new devices, but to provide ways for one program to control the environment of another. Pike [6] shows how these mechanisms are used to create multiple virtual terminals on one physical terminal. In another application, inter-machine connections in which a user on one computer logs into another make use of the message module. Here the *ioctl* requests generated by programs on the remote machine are translated by this module into data messages that can be sent over the network. The local callout program translates them back into terminal control commands.

# Evaluation

My intent in rewriting the character I/O system was to improve its structure by separating functions that had been intertwined, and by allowing independent modules to be connected dynamically across well-defined interfaces. I also wanted to make the system faster and smaller. The most difficult part of the project was the design of the interface. It was guided by these decisions:

- 1) It seemed to be necessary for efficiency that the objects passed between modules be references to blocks of data. The most important consequences of this principle, and those that proved deciding, are that data need not be copied as it passes across a module interface, and that many characters can be handled during a single intermodule transmission. Another effect, undesirable but accepted, is that each module must be prepared to handle discrete chunks of data of unpredictable size. For example, a protocol that expects records containing (say) an 8-byte header must be prepared to paste together smaller data blocks and split a block containing both a header and following data. A related, although not necessarily consequent, decision was to make the code assume that the data is addressable.
- 2) I decided, with regret, that each processing module could not act as an independent process with its own call record. The numbers seemed against it: on large systems it is necessary to allow for as many as 1000 queues, and I saw no good way to run this many processes without consuming inordinate amounts of storage. As a result, stream server procedures are not allowed to block awaiting data, but instead must return after saving necessary status information explicitly. The contortions required in the code are seldom serious in practice, but the beauty of the scheme would increase if servers could be written as a simple read-write loop in the true coroutine style.
- 3) The characteristic feature of the design-the server and put procedures-was the most difficult to work out. I began with a belief that the intermodule interface should be identical in the read and write directions. Next, I observed that a pure call model (put procedure only) would not work; queueing would be necessary at some point. For example, if the *write* system entry called through the terminal processing module to the device driver, the driver would need to queue characters internally lest output be completely synchronous. On the other hand, a pure queueing model (service procedure only; upstream modules always place their data in an input queue) also appeared impractical. As discussed

above, a module (for example terminal input) must often be activated at times that depend on its input data.

After considerable churning of details, the model presented here emerged. In general its performance by various measures lives up to hopes.

The improvement in modularity is hard to measure, but seems real; for example, the number of included header files in stream modules drops to about one half of those required by similar routines in the base system (4.1 BSD). Certainly stream modules may be composed more freely than were the "line disciplines" of older systems.

The program text size of the version of the operating system described here is about 106 kilobytes on the VAX; the base system was about 130KB. The reduction was achieved by rewriting the various device drivers and protocols and eliminating the Seventh Edition multiplexed files [1], most (though not all) of whose functions are subsumed by other mechanisms. On the other hand, the data space has increased. On a VAX 11/750 configured for 32 users about 32KB are used for storage of the structures for streams, queues, and blocks. The traditional character lists seem to require less; similar systems from Berkeley and AT&T use between 14 and 19KB. The tradeoff of program for data seems desirable.

Proper time comparisons have not been made, because of the difficulty of finding a comparable configuration. On a VAX 11/750, printing a large file on a directly-connected terminal consumes 346 microseconds per character using the system described here; this is about 10 per cent slower than the base system. On the other hand, that system's per-character interrupt routine is coded in assembly language, and the rest of its terminal handler is replete with nonportable interpolated assembly code; the current system is written completely in C. Printing the same file on a terminal connected through a primitive network interface requires 136 microseconds per character, half as much as the older network routines. Pike [7] observes that among the three implementations of Blit connection software, the one based on the stream system is the only one that can download programs at anything approaching line speed through a 19.2 Kbps connection. In general I conclude that the new organization never slows comparable tasks much, and that considerable speed improvements are sometimes possible.

Although the new organization performs well, it has several peculiarities and limitations. Some of them seem inherent, some are fixable, and some are the subject of current work.

I/O control calls turn into messages that require answers before a result can be returned to the user. Sometimes the message ultimately goes to another user-level process that may reply tardily or never. The stream is write-locked until the reply returns, in order to eliminate the need to determine which process gets which reply. A timeout breaks the lock, so there is an unjustified error return if a reply is late, and a long lockup period if one is lost. The problem can be ameliorated by working harder on it, but it typifies the difficulties that turn up when direct calls are replaced by message-passing schemes.

Several oddities appear because time spent in server routines cannot be assigned to any particular user or process. It is impossible, for example, for devices to support privileged *ioctl* calls, because the device has no idea who generated the message. Accounting and scheduling become less accurate; a short census of several systems showed that between 4 and 8 per cent of non-idle CPU time was being spent in server routines. Finally, the anonymity of server processing most certainly makes it more difficult to measure the performance of the new I/O system.

In its current form the stream I/O system is purely data-driven. That is, data is presented by a user's *write* call, and passes through to the device; conversely, data appears unbidden from a device and passes to the top level, where it is picked up by *read* calls. Wherever possible flow control throttles down fast generators of data, but nowhere except at the consumer end of a stream is there knowledge of precisely how much data is desired. Consider a command to execute possibly interactive program on another machine connected by a stream. The simplest such command sets up the connection and invokes the remote program, and then copies characters from its own standard input to the stream, and from the stream to its standard output. The scheme is adequate in practice, but breaks when the user types more than the remote program expects. For example, if the remote program reads no input at all, any typed-ahead characters are sent to the remote system and lost. This demonstrates a problem, but I know of no solution inside the stream I/O mechanism itself; other ideas will have to be applied.

Streams are linear connections; by themselves, they support no notion of multiplexing, fan-in or fan-

out. Except at the ends of a stream, each invocation of a module has a unique "next" and "previous" module. Two locally-important applications of streams testify to the importance of multiplexing: Blit terminal connections, where the multiplexing is done well, though at some performance cost, by a user program, and remote execution of commands over a network, where it is desired, but not now easy, to separate the standard output from error output. It seems likely that a general multiplexing mechanism could help in both cases, but again, I do not yet know how to design it.

Although the current design provides elegant means for controlling the semantics of communication channels already opened, it lacks general ways of establishing channels between processes. The PT files described above are just fine for Blit layers, and work adequately for handling a few administrator-controlled client-server relationships. (Yes, we have multi-machine mazewar.) Nevertheless, better naming mechanisms are called for.

In spite of these limitations, the stream I/O system works well. Its aim was to improve design rather than to add features, in the belief that with proper design, the features come cheaply. This approach is arduous, but continues to succeed.

### References

- 1. Unix Programmers's Manual, Seventh Edition, Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ, (January, 1979).
- 2. Unix Programmer's Manual, Virtual VAX-11 Version, University of California, Berkeley (June 1981).
- 3. A. G. Fraser, "Datakit--A Modular Network for Synchronous and Asynchronous Traffic," *Proc. Int. Conf. on Communication*, Boston, MA (June 1979).
- 4. K. Thompson, "The Unix Time-sharing System--Unix Implementation," B.S.T.J. 57 No 6, (July-Aug 1978), pp. 1931-1946.
- 5. D.G. Bobrow, J.D. Burchfiel, D.L. Murphy, and R.S Tomlinson, "Tenex--a Paged Time Sharing System for the PDP-10," C. ACM **15** No. 3, (March 1972), pp. 135-143.
- 6. T.A. Dolotta, S.B. Olsson, and A.G.Petrucelli, *Unix User's Manual, Release 3.0*, Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ (June 1980).
- 7. R. Pike, 'The Blit: A Multiplexed Graphics Terminal,'' AT&T Tech. J. 63 No. 8 Part 2, October 1984.